



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/393,168	09/10/1999	TOSHIMITSU ISHIKAWA	724-P10-2589	2333
7590 05/27/2005		EXAMINER		
WENDEROTH LIND & PONACK LLP			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
2033 K STREE	ΓNW		A DOWN TO THE	DARRAND AREA
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			1616	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•		09/393,168	ISHIKAWA ET AL.	•	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Edward J. Webman	1616		
	The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
THE N - Exter after s - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre- to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal mat	·		
Dispositi	on of Claims		•		
 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	on Papers				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the oath or declaration is objected to by the] accepted or b) ☐ objected to othe drawing(s) be held in abeyatorrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/393,168

Art Unit: 1616

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miskel et al (US Patent # 3851051) in view of Tanner et al (US patent # 5569466).

Miskel et al, see Example 1 column 6, teach a soft capsule comprising a water-soluble dietary fiber (citrus pectin) and a material of limited-oil solubility (diphenhydramine). No dispersion stabilizer and oil material or oil soluble material is present. Further, Miskel et al, see Example 50 column 20, teach a soft capsule comprising a water-soluble dietary fiber (apple pectin), a material of limited oil solubility (glycerin) and a fat and oil material or oil-soluble material (vitamin E). Lastly, Miskel et al, see Example 43 column 19, teach a soft capsule comprising a water-soluble dietary fiber (citrus pectin) and a material of limited oil solubility (sodium saccharine). No dispersion stabilizer and fat and oil material or oil-soluble material is present. High stability is disclosed (column 1 line 21).

However, Miskel et al do not teach a homogeneous mixture of the medicinal liquid in the soft capsule.

Tanner et al teach fill compositions for soft gel capsules (title) comprising an active agent dissolved or suspended in a carrier liquid (abstract). Tanner et al teach homogenization of actives and solublilizing agents (column 4 lines 47-70 and 65-66). Water is disclosed (column 3 line 61).

Application/Control Number: 09/393,168

Art Unit: 1616

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to make a soft gel capsule comprising citrus pectin to achieve high stability in view of Miskel et al. As to the claimed homogenization, Tanner et al teach that homogenization is well known in the art of making a soft gel capsule. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that homogenization provides a stable mixture.

Applicants argue that the Miskel et al capsule contains a rigid gel system comprising a gel-lattice matrix. However, Miskel et al disclose a soft capsule having a water-containing solution or suspension of active ingredient in the fill (column 3 lines 43-35). Miskel et al further teach a soft gelatin capsule containing a fluid or semi-fluid fill composed of the gel-lattice matrix as a carrier for the aqueous solution or suspension (column 3 lines 49-51). Thus, although the gel system may be rigid, the capsule contents as a whole remain fluid or semi-fluid. Applicants argue that a drying operation is not required in their invention, however, applicants are claiming a composition, not a method of making. Applicants argue that water is not required in their invention, but they do not claim an anhydrous composition. Applicants state that pectin increases the amount of active ingredients in the aqueous solution or suspension. However, the relevance of this observation is unclear. Applicants also argue that that the maltitol syrup in Tanner at all is incompatible with the Miskel et all invention. However, Tanner et all is only cited for its teaching of homogenization of capsule contents.

No claims allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/393,168

Art Unit: 1616

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Edward J. Webman at telephone number 571-272-0633.

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500